

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 065789
ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 PM-05 DODE-00 SIG-03 CIAE-00 INR-10
L-03 ACDA-12 NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 SP-02 TRSE-00
NSCE-00 USIE-00 SSO-00 INRE-00 /064 R

DRAFTED BY OSD/FMRA: COL. KEENAN
APPROVED BY EUR/RPM:SJLEDOGAR
OSD/ISA:JTIMBERLAKE
OSD/PA:COL. BARR
JOINT STAFF: CAPT. JAMES
EUR/NE:SWORREL
PM/ISO:PSCHLAMM
EUR/RPM:WTROBINSON
-----111780 150216Z /72

O P 150110Z MAR 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE
INFO USMISSION USNATO PRIORITY
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GE PRIORITY
CINCUSAFE RAMSTEIN AB GE PRIORITY
HQ 3AF RAF MILDENHALL UK PRIORITY
HQ SAC OFFUTT AFB NE PRIORITY
USNMR SHAPE BELGIUM PRIORITY

S E C R E T STATE 065789

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: NATO, MPOL, UK, MILI

SUBJECT: RAF GREENHAM COMMON

REF: (A) LONDON 03020 (DTG 231751Z FEB 78)
(B) LONDON 03571 (061456Z MAR 78)

1. FOLLOWING IS IN REPLY TO QUESTIONS REGARDING THE
SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 065789

REACTIVATION OF RAF GREENHAM COMMON (GC) CONTAINED IN MOD
UK LETTER FORWARDED BY REFTTEL A. REFERENCES REFER
TO TEXT OF THE LETTER WITH ANSWERS PROVIDED IN SUB-
PARAGRAPHS IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING.

2. REF PARA 4: WHAT OTHER POSSIBLE LOCATIONS WERE CON-
SIDERED AND REJECTED?

A. THE AIR FORCE CONSIDERED BRIZE-NORTON, FAIRFORD,
WETHERSFIELD AND SCULTHORPE AS POSSIBLE LOCATIONS FOR

ADDITIONAL TANKERS.

(1) BRIZE-NORTON WAS IDENTIFIED AS A GOOD SOLUTION IF BASING RIGHTS COULD BE OBTAINED. THE QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF FACILITIES WERE CONSIDERED TO BE THE BEST IN THE UK FOR KC-135 SUPPORT. IT WAS REJECTED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT SINCE THE UK MADE FULL TIME USE OF THE BASE, GRANTING OF PEACETIME AND WARTIME BEDDOWN RIGHTS FOR THE ADDITIONAL TANKERS WAS UNLIKELY. HOWEVER, IF JOINT USE RIGHTS FOR THE KC-135 DETACHMENT COULD BE NEGOTIATED, BRIZE-NORTON WOULD BE AN EXCELLENT ALTERNATIVE TO GC.

(2) FAIRFORD OFFERED THE BEST OPERATIONAL ALTERNATIVE AFTER BRIZE-NORTON AND APPEARED TO BE THE MOST FEASIBLE AS A SECOND CHOICE. HOWEVER, IT WOULD COST CONSIDERABLY MORE TIME AND MONEY THAN GC. A ONE TO TWO YEAR DELAY IS ESTIMATED TO PREPARE FACILITIES FOR THE KC-135S AND AT A COST OF \$24 MILLION TO PROVIDE GC EQUIVALENCY. AT LEAST \$18 MILLION WOULD BE REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH THE TANKERS AT FAIRFORD. REHABILITATION OF THE FUELS SYSTEM, PAVING, DORMITORIES, AND PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITIES WOULD BE REQUIRED. NEW CONSTRUCTION
SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 065789

WOULD BE NEEDED FOR DEPENDENT SUPPORT FACILITIES. THE LACK OF AIR SPACE CONGESTION AND FLIGHT RESTRICTIONS WOULD MAKE FAIRFORD A SOUND OPERATIONAL BASE. HOWEVER, OVERRIDING TIME AND ECONOMIC FACTORS SUPPORTED THE SELECTION OF GC.

(3) THE MAJOR DRAWBACK AT SCULTHORPE IS THE LACK OF FACILITIES. EXTENSIVE EXPANSION OF THE FUEL HYDRANT AND STORAGE SYSTEM WOULD BE REQUIRED. LAND ACQUISITION WOULD BE REQUIRED TO EXTEND THE RUNWAY TO 10,000 FEET AND PROVIDE 1,000 FOOT OVERRUNS ON BOTH ENDS FOR TANKER OPERATIONS. THESE AND RELATED PERSONNEL/DEPENDENT FACILITIES COSTS PUSH SCULTHORPE ESTIMATES HIGHER THAN THE COSTS FOR FAIRFORD TO REACTIVATE THE BASE FOR TANKERS. FYI: FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF SCULTHORPE WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF AN ADDITIONAL FIGHTER BASE WERE REQUIRED. END FYI.

(4) WETHERSFIELD ALSO OFFERED A POSSIBLE LOCATION.

HOWEVER, THE RUNWAY AND TAXIWAYS LOAD BEARING CAPACITY WILL NOT SUPPORT TANKER OPERATIONS, AND THE RUNWAY AND OVERRUNS WOULD HAVE TO BE EXTENDED. BECAUSE OF THESE LIMITATIONS, WETHERSFIELD WAS NOT CONSIDERED FURTHER. A MORE DETAILED SURVEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO ASSESS THE COSTS OF INTRODUCING TANKERS AT WETHERSFIELD, BUT A CORRESPONDINGLY HIGH COST WOULD BE EXPECTED.

(5) IN SUMMARY, GC WAS SELECTED AS THE PREFERRED BASE FOR REACTIVATION BECAUSE IT WOULD COST \$18-24 MILLION LESS THAN FAIRFORD AND THE FACILITY WOULD BE AVAILABLE ONE TO TWO YEARS SOONER. BRIZE-NORTON REMAINS AN EXCELLENT ALTERNATIVE IF JOINT USE RIGHTS CAN BE NEGOTIATED.

3. REF PARA 5(A): WHAT WOULD BE THE PLANNED WARTIME SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 065789

ROLE OF GC? WILL IT INVOLVE PEACETIME DEPLOYMENTS? CAN YOU CONFIRM UNDERSTANDING THAT F-111 AIRCRAFT PREVIOUSLY PLANNED TO DEPLOY THERE IN WAR WOULD NO LONGER DO SO AND THAT PROPOSED AIRCRAFT SHELTERS WOULD NOT BE NEEDED?

A. THE PLANNED WARTIME ROLE FOR GC WOULD BE TO RECEIVE AND OPERATIONALLY EMPLOY ADDITIONAL TANKER AIRCRAFT. ONLY TRANSIT SUPPORT AIRCRAFT AND POSSIBLY OTHER AIRCRAFT ENCOUNTERING EMERGENCIES WOULD USE THE FACILITY IN ADDITION TO THE TANKER MISSION.

B. NORMAL PEACETIME OPERATIONS OF THE TANKER DETACHMENT ARE THE ONLY OPERATIONS CONTEMPLATED AT GC. SHOULD THE TANKERS BE BASED ELSEWHERE, PERIODIC EXERCISE RIGHTS WOULD BE REQUESTED FOR THE TYPE OF AIRCRAFT SCHEDULED TO DEPLOY TO GC IN WARTIME. THIS IS IN CONSONANCE WITH EFFORTS TO ENHANCE COMBAT READINESS BY EXERCISING UNITS AT DEPLOYMENT BASES.

C. AS GC IS PLANNED TO BE USED EXCLUSIVELY FOR TANKER OPERATIONS, THE F-111S WOULD NO LONGER BE SCHEDULED FOR THAT FACILITY AND THE SHELTERS WOULD BE REPROGRAMMED ELSEWHERE.

4. REF PARA 5(B): DOES THE PLAN TO INTRODUCE A-10S AT BENTWATERS/WOODBRIDGE ALTER THE FIGURE OF 78 IN YOUR LETTER OF 19 JANUARY 1978?

A. YES. THE EXPANSION OF IN-PLACE AIR REFUELABLE FIGHTER AIRCRAFT IN EUROPE BY A TOTAL OF 78 CITED IN THE 19 JANUARY LETTER DID NOT INCLUDE THE A-10S. THE 108 A-10S WILL REPLACE 66 PHANTOMS AND INCREASE THE 78 FIGURE BY 42 TO A REVISED TOTAL OF 120 AIR REFUELABLE SECRET

PAGE 05 STATE 065789

AIRCRAFT.

5. REF PARA 5(C): WHAT IS MEANT BY QUOTE TEMPORARY UNQUOTE IN LINE 4, SUBPARA QUOTE C UNQUOTE? WHAT IS

THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TASKS OF GC TANKERS AND THOSE AT
MILDENHALL?

A. QUOTE TEMPORARY UNQUOTE APPLIES TO THE AIRCRAFT,
FLIGHT CREWS AND AIRCRAFT CREW CHIEFS (GROUND MAINTENANCE
PERSONNEL RESPONSIBLE FOR SPECIFIC AIRCRAFT) WHO ARE
TEMPORARILY ASSIGNED DUTIES AWAY FROM THEIR PERMANENT
BASE IN THE US. ONE TEMPORARY ASSIGNED AIRCRAFT AND ITS
ASSIGNED CREW WOULD REPLACE ANOTHER AT GC EVERY 42-45
DAYS. THE NUMBER OF AIRCRAFT WOULD REMAIN APPROXIMATELY
THE SAME. ONLY THE 15 AIRCRAFT AND 90 TEMPORARY

PERSONNEL WOULD ROTATE. THIS IS IDENTICAL TO THE PEACE-
TIME CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS CURRENTLY EMPLOYED AT
MILDENHALL.

B. BOTH UNITS WOULD BE DETACHMENTS OF THE 306
STRATEGIC WING AND THEIR MISSIONS WOULD BE IDENTICAL.

6. REF PARA 5(D): IF GC IS REACTIVATED, WILL ANOTHER
STAND-BY BASE BE NEEDED?

A. THE AIR FORCE HAS NOT IDENTIFIED A REQUIREMENT
FOR AN ADDITIONAL STAND-BY BASE. HOWEVER, SUCH A
POSSIBILITY CANNOT BE RULED OUT AS WE STRUCTURE EUROPEAN
OPERATIONS TO MEET A CHANGING THREAT.

7. REF PARA 5(E): IS ANY LATER (I.E., POST KC-135)
DEVELOPMENT ENVISAGED FOR GC?

A. THERE ARE NO PLANS FOR ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT
AT GC AT THIS TIME.

SECRET

PAGE 06 STATE 065789

8. REF PARA 6: CONSIDERING THE LOCAL AREA AND THE
ALDERMASTON ATOMIC WEAPONS RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT, ARE
YOU ABLE TO LET US HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT THE
AIRCRAFT, ITS BEHAVIOR, OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT AND
ROUTING, AND FLIGHT SAFETY RECORD?

A. EASEMENT THROUGH THE PROHIBITED AIR SPACE OVER
THE ALDERMASTON ESTABLISHMENT WILL REQUIRE SPECIAL CON-
SIDERATION. HOWEVER, IT WAS PERMITTED PRIOR TO
DEACTIVATION OF THE BASE. WE ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT A
COMPARABLE SITUATION EXISTS ON THE APPROACHES TO RAF
ABINGDON WHERE AIRCRAFT ON INSTRUMENT APPROACHES TO THE
NORTH ARE ALLOWED TO OVERFLY THE HARWELL ESTABLISHMENT.

B. WE ARE AWARE OF EXTENSIVE AIR TRAFFIC IN THE GC
AREA. HOWEVER, DURING PAST F-111 DEPLOYMENTS, WHILE THE
RUNWAY AT UPPER HEYFORD WAS REPAIRED, WE FOUND THAT THE
RAF MILITARY AIR TRAFFIC ORGANIZATION, LONDON AIR

TRAFFIC CONTROL,AND OTHER AGENCIES WERE VERY COOPERATIVE
IN INTEGRATING GC TRAFFIC INTO THEIR SYSTEM.

C. THE SAFETY RECORD OF THE KC-135 IS ONE OF THE
BEST. PROCEDURES, TRAINING, AND THE CONCEPT OF
OEPRATIONS ARE OPTIMIZED TO KEEP THIS ONE OF OUR
SAFEST AIRCRAFT.

9. REF PARA 7(A): IS IT POSSIBLE TO REFINES THE NUMBER
OF US PERSONNEL INVOLVED? HOW MANY OFF-BASE HOUSING

UNITS WOULD BE REQUIRED?

A. UPDATED ESTIMATES INDICATE THAT APPROXIMATELY
SECRET

PAGE 07 STATE 065789

1195 US MILITARY PERSONNEL WOULD BE STATIONED AT GC.
THEY WOULD BE ACCOMPANIED BY APPROXIMATELY 2,055
DEPENDENTS.

B. WE ESTIMATE THAT APPROXIMATELY 700 OFF-BASE
RENTAL HOUSING UNITS WOULD BE REQUIRED.

10. REF PARA 7(B): WHAT SCALE OF BUILDING IS ENVISAGED
ON THE BASE?

A. WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THE REQUIREMENT FOR \$6
MILLION TO REPAIR AND ALTER EXISTING FACILITIES FOR THE
INITIAL OPERATION OF FIVE AIRCRAFT. OTHER CONSTRUCTION,
SUCH AS A SCHOOL, MAY BE NEEDED IN THE LONG TERM --
INITIAL ESTIMATE FOR THESE FACILITIES IS \$8 MILLION. A
MORE DETAILED SURVEY WILL BE REQUIRED TO COMMENT FURTHER.

11. REF PARA 7(C): HOW MANY CIVILIAN JOBS WOULD BE
CREATED ON THE BASE?

A. WE ESTIMATE THAT ABOUT 180 ADDITIONAL CIVILIAN
JOBS WOULD BE PROVIDED ON THE BASE. HOWEVER, WE BELIEVE
THAT MANY MORE JOBS WOULD PROBABLY BE CREATED IN THE
LOCAL COMMUNITY AS A RESULT OF THE INCREASE IN PERSONNEL
AND THEIR NEED FOR LOCAL GOODS AND SERVICES AS WELL AS
INCREASED PUBLIC WORKS SUPPORT.

12. REF PARA 7(D): COULD YOU PROVIDE A QUOTE NOISE
FOOT PRINT UNQUOTE TOGETHER WITH DETAILS OF PERFORMANCE
AND NOISE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE KC-135 ON TAKE-OFF AND
LANDING, WHILE IN THE CIRCUIT, AND DETAILS OF FLIGHT-
PATHS OVER SURROUNDING AREAS?

A. AT THIS TIME, WE DO NOT HAVE A NOISE FOOT
PRINT AVAILABLE FOR THE KC-135. PRELIMINARY NOISE DATA,
BASED ON DISTANCE AND HEIGHT FROM THE FLIGHT PATH,ARE

SECRET

PAGE 08 STATE 065789

BEING DEVELOPED AND WILL BE FURNISHED IN THE NEAR FUTURE. THE FOOT PRINT IS DEPENDENT TO A LARGE DEGREE ON ARRIVAL AND DEPARTURE PROCEDURES.

B. ARRIVAL, DEPARTURE AND TRAFFIC PATTERN PROCEDURES FOR THE KC-135 AT GC ARE NOT FULLY DEVELOPED. A DETAILED SURVEY OF THE AREA AND COORDINATION WITH BRITISH AIR TRAFFIC AUTHORITIES WOULD BE REQUIRED TO FULLY INTEGRATE KC-135 PROCEDURES AT GC.

13. REF PARA 7(E): WILL LAND PURCHASE BE REQUIRED NOW OR IN THE FUTURE?

A. A REQUIREMENT FOR ADDITIONAL LAND HAS NOT BEEN IDENTIFIED.

14. ANSWERS TO THE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FORWARDED BY REFTEL B ARE BEING PREPARED AND WILL BE PROVIDED SHORTLY.

VANCE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 26 sep 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MILITARY AIRCRAFT, MILITARY BASES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 15 mar 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE065789
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: OSD/FMRA: COL. KEENAN
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Expiration:
Film Number: D780114-0316
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t197803126/baaafcbx.tel
Line Count: 298
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 476a5ebb-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: (A) LONDON 03020 (DTG 231751Z FEB 78) (B) LONDON 03571 (061456Z MAR 78)
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 23 jun 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3166253
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: RAF GREENHAM COMMON
TAGS: MPOL, MILI, UK, NATO
To: LONDON INFO USNATO MULTIPLE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/476a5ebb-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014